(b) The representationists had claimed pensionary benefits. As they retired holding appointment on a temporary basis $_0$nly and such per eons were not eligible for pension in the relevant period$_i$ their claim$_s$ for pension wer$_e$ rejected and they were also informer of th$_e$ same.

(c) Does not arise.

Representation forwarded by Maha-rashtra Government regarding Bharat Electronics, Taleja, District Thane

2163. SKRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government *oi* Maharashtra hav$_e$ forwarded an$_v$ re-presentation from the workers of Bharat Electronics, Taleja, Thane District, Maharashtra; and

(b)' if so, what ar$_e$ the details thereof including *ihe* recommetnda-tions of the Government of Maha-rashtra and the reaction of th$_e$ Central Government to each of them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI CHINTAMANI PANI-GRAHI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(H) The workers hav(e Expressed apprehensions about their future if the alleged privatisation of th$_e$ Ta-loja unit take$_s$ place. The Government of Maharashtra have urged sympathetic consideration of the workers' representation. The Government of India is not considering any proposal for th$_e$ privatisation of the Taloja unit of BEL.

Annual Plan Alloeattaa

2164. SHRIMATl KANAK MUK-HERJEE: Will the Minister of PLANNING b$_e$ pleased to state:

(a) State-wise annual plan alloca tion for the year 1989-90;

(b) State-wise plan allocation, per capita in rupees for the year 1989-90; and

(c) what is the shar$_e$ of Central assistance in percent in the total plan assistance for each State, year-wiae, during the last thre$_e$ year$_8$ and ia the current financial year?

THE MINISTER OF PLANNING ANd THE MINISTER OF PROG-BAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI MADHAVSINH SOLANKI); (a) and (b) Statement-I is laid o$_n$ the Tabl© of the House.

(c) Statement-II fe laid on tHe Table of the House.

Statement-I

Annual Plan 1989-90 *Outlays— States*

States	Approved Outlay Total (Rs. crores)	Per Capita (in Rs,)
Andhra Pradesh	1300.00	209
Arunachal Pradesh	150.00	1906
Assam	635.00	266
Bihar	1800.00	217
Goa	110.00	908

1	2	3
Gujarat	1400.00	35
Haryana	676.00	427
Himachal Pradesh	300.00	607
Jammu & Kashmir	520.00	728
Karnataka	1040.00	238
Kerala	526.00	198
Madhya Pradesh	1840.00	298
Maharashtra	2640.00	361
Manipur	142.00	823
Meghalaya	150.00	907
Mizoram	102.00	1532
Nagaland	132.00	1246
Orissa	925.00	304
Punjab	@	
Rajasthan	795.00	188
Sikkim	71.00	1682
Tamil Nadu	@	
Tripura	167.00	673
Uttar Pradesh	2800.00	214
West Bengal	1115.00	175

@Plan not yet finalised.

Statement II

Share of Central Assistance in per cent in the total Plan Assistance for each State year-wise from 1985-86 to 1988-89

States	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
1	2	3	4	5
Andhra Pradesh	6.0	7.5	5.1	5.1
Arunachal Pradesh			2.2	2.2
Assam	7.4	8.8	8.5	7.3
Bihar	9.8	8.5	8.1	7.2
			2.1	1.2

1	2	3	4	5
Gujarat	3.7	4·1	3.5	3.5
Haryana	2.9	1.5	1.0	1.1
Himachal Pradesh	3.2	3.1	3.7	3.2
Jammu & Kashmir	6.0	6.7	6.7	7.1
Karnataka	3.5	3.5	3.0	2.7
Kerala	5.0	4.9	3.3	3.4
Madhya Pradesh	5.8	6.4	6.1	5.9
Maharashtra	6.0	6.4	5.1	5.3
Manipur	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.1
Meghalaya	1.2	1.7	1.7	1.8
Mizoram	@	@	1.0	1.9
Nagaland	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.5
Orissa	3.7	4.5	4.2	4.6
Punjab	4.6	1.0	0.8	0.8
Rajasthan	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2
Sikkim	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.9
Tamil Nadu	5.3	4.9	5·4	5.3
Tripura	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.2
Uttar Pradesh	11.7	12.3	12.6	13.5
West Bengal	3.4	4.3	4.1	4.3
TOTAL STATES	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)

@Was not a State then.

Note: Central Share includes 'Normal Assistance' & Assistance for 'Externally Aided Projects,

Controi of Leprosy

2165. SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK; Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY "WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) to what extent Governmen* have achieved success to control Leprosy in the country;

(b) whether any new vaccine has been developed indigenously in the country; and

(c) whether any funds have been allocated during 1988-89 and 1989-90' for this purpose?